UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,080	07/21/2006	Hiroki Mouri	28951.1181	9532
53067 STEPTOE & JO	7590 11/20/200 DHNSON LLP	EXAMINER		
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVE., NW	FISCHER, MARK L		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,080	MOURI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark Fischer	2627		

	Mark Fischer	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing on. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
" 		حط لحصوفون حط فمع الأدرر	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	or rolling appear by materially rec		10 100 100
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,9-12 and 20-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/HOA T NGUYEN/	/Mark Fischer/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627	Examiner, Art Unit 2627		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues (Page 5) that neither AAPA Figure 5, nor the accompanying disclosure shows or describes an adaptive transversal filter performing both claimed functions (i.e. a transversal filter for amplifying a signal in a specific band as well as performing waveform equalization for a reproduction signal). However, it is already well-known in the art that the purpose of a filter is to take a signal and amplify the signal (positive or negative amplification) with different amplification gains at different frequencies and it is also well-known in the art that amplifying a signal with different amplification gains at different frequencies of the signal can be considered to be waveform equalization.

Applicant argues (Page 5) that AAPA, Figure 5 does not show direct connection between the A/D converter and the variable gain amplifier, as claimed. However, Figure 3 of Applicant's disclosure shows an A/D converter (4) that is NOT directly connected to a variable gain amplifier (2) which raises the issue that the newly amended limitation which includes the phrase "directly connected to" may be new matter. Further, in view of Figure 3 of Applicant's disclosure, even if for the purposes of argument, element 4 is considered to be "directly connected to" can be taken to be that two elements (2 and 4) are connected to each other with elements in between. This is because Figure 3 illustrates that an adding element is present in the connection between elements 2 and 4. AAPA, Figure 5, discloses an A/D converter (104) connected to a variable gain amplifier (102) with elements in between. Thus it can be interpreted that elements 104 is directly connected to element 102.